

**I-105 Corridor Project
Deliverable Status/Issues
10/20/05**

AGENDA

- Current Deliverables Status/Issues
- Contract Amendments
- Next Meeting

**I-105 Corridor Project
Deliverable Status/Issues
10/20/05**

1.3 & 1.4 Project Web Page

Status:

- Screen samples submitted to County on 9/19/05
- County comments from September meeting are currently being incorporated.

Issues:

- Confirmation of agency contact names and information is requested from County.

2.1.5.3 & 2.1.5.4 Norwalk System Architecture Diagram

Status:

- Submitted on 1/27/05, currently under review by County. Received County comments on 8/4/05.
- Final version will reflect system diagram from Econolite's proposal of 10/17/05.

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.1.9.3 & 2.1.9.4 South Gate System Architecture Diagram

Status:

- Submitted on 3/2/05. Received County comments on 5/11/05.
- **Waiting for City of South Gate comments.**

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.2.9.1 & 2.2.9.2 Norwalk LCC Site Report and Layout

Status:

- Submitted 4/15/05. Received County/City comments on 5/11/05.
- Final version will reflect Econolite's proposal of 10/17/05.

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

**I-105 Corridor Project
Deliverable Status/Issues
10/20/05**

2.2.6.1 & 2.2.6.2 South Gate LCC Site Report and Layout

Status:

- Currently underway. Received City Hall and City Yard floor plan on 5/11/05.
Received County comments on 6/17/05.
- **Waiting for City of South Gate comments.**

Issues:

- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.1.5.1 & 2.1.5.2 Norwalk ATMS SOW and Specification

Status:

- Submitted draft version on 4/29/05.
- Econolite provided latest proposal on 10/17/05 which incorporated the following NET/County comments:
 - Make system diagrams match equipment list (Ethernet radios)
 - Integration should be done in two phases; first wireless locations, then fiber locations.

Issues:

- Equipment rack must be provided by City or Econolite, not PS&E.
 - Change in City staff may delay final approval of procurement.
 - Penalty for maintenance response times still not addressed.
- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.1.9.1 & 2.1.9.2 South Gate Signal System Evaluation Report

Status:

- Task has been stopped, since City has selected Econolite for their signal system.
- Preliminary work on evaluation report (criteria, etc.) will be submitted to County to close out task.

Issues:

- None

**I-105 Corridor Project
Deliverable Status/Issues
10/20/05**

2.3.1.1 Summary of Controller Cabinet Recommendations

Status:

- Submitted on 5/29/05.
- No comments back from stakeholders.

Issues:

- **County is assessing whether or not to require a separate cabinet for CCTV equipment.**
- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.3.1.2 Summary of CCTV Location Recommendations

Status:

- Submitted on 2/9/05
- No comments back from stakeholders.

Issues:

- **County is assessing whether or not to delete leased-line CCTV locations from project.**
- This must be finalized in order to be used as an input to Second PS&E submittal.

2.3.2.1, 2.3.2.2, & 2.3.2.3 Field Components PS&E

Status:

- First PS&E Submittal – Version 2.0, submitted 4/25/05.
- County and City comments received on 8/18/05.
- Additional utility information in hard copy received on 9/1/05.
- More utility information in hard copy was received on 10/13/05.
- Submitted Pre-draft-Version 0.2 Special Provisions (coordinated effort with Siemens) on 10/4/05.

Issues:

Plan Sheets

- I-105 traffic signal inventory/upgrade recommendations list does not provide all information necessary to complete County's table that is to be included in PS&E.

**I-105 Corridor Project
Deliverable Status/Issues
10/20/05**

- County's field notes regarding geometrics do not match base maps provided by City of Norwalk.
- No comments received yet from City of Santa Fe Springs.
- No comments received yet from City of South Gate.
- Separate CCTV equipment cabinets could be a significant re-design effort.
- Several utility companies indicated that they had facilities in the project limits but were not affected by proposed fiber optic cable installation.

Special Provisions

- County is to provide fiber optic cable specification.
- County is to provide CCTV camera assembly specification.
- Gigabit Ethernet switches at each LCC must be coordinated with other consultants for compatibility along the fiber optic backbone linking three cities.
- County is to modify Special Provisions to separate out work for each city.

Cost Estimate

- Pay items must be coordinated with other consultants and are needed to complete engineer's estimate.

Miscellaneous

- Railroad bridge crossing and flood control channel bridge crossing must be reviewed by responsible agencies for required permits.

Task 7.1: Evaluation of Video Distribution System

Status:

- Submitted on 6/18/05, currently under review by County.
- County has decided not to move forward with remaining sub-tasks on Task 7.1 and Task 7.2